

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,591	03/01/2004	Hamilton Wong	50099-00002	9193
759	02/02/2006		EXAMINER	
Marsh Fischmann & Breyfogle LLP			KRUER, KEVIN R	
Suite 411	ahn Way		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3151 South Vaughn Way Aurora, CO 80014		•	1773	

DATE MAILED: 02/02/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

2				(
-		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
000 - 4 - 4 - 4 - 9 - 0		10/790,591	WONG, HAMILTON				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kevin R. Kruer	1773				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication aportion or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. apply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 (<u>October 2005</u> .					
'=	,—	is action is non-final.					
3)□	• •	*	·				
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>28-34</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
· · · · · ·	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.						
= -	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement					
∪(≎	are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
	The specification is objected to by the Examin						
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on $03/04$ is/are: a) \boxtimes acc	• •					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	***	, ,				
11)[]	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the E		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
	•	.xammer. Note the attached	Office Action of form F 10-132.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)	All b) Some * c) None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer						
	 Copies of the certified copies of the pricapplication from the International Burea 		received in this National Stage				
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , ,	received				
		300000000000000000000000000000000000000					
Attachmer	nt(s)						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date				
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08		formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Pape	er No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/2/05</u> .	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/790,591 Page 2

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-27, in the reply filed on October 24, 2005 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 28-34 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on October 24,
 2005.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed 5/2/2005 has been fully considered. An initialed copy of said PTO-1449 is enclosed herein.

Drawings

4. The drawings filed 3/1/2004 are accepted.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1773

6. Claims 1-9, 11,12,15-22, 24, and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonczy et al (US 5,143,770) in view of Murata et al (US 2003/0039848A).

Gonczy teaches a multilayer insulation blanket (abstract). The blanket comprises successive layers of thermally reflective materials and spacer materials (col 6, lines 4+). The thermally reflective material is a polyester, polyamide, polyimide or polyolefin film wherein both surfaces of said film are metallized with aluminum, gold, or silver (col 6, lines 4+). Said thermally reflective materials are herein understood to read on the claimed "outer sheet of thermally insulative plastic material" and "at least one inner sheet of thermally insulative plastic material." The spacer materials are spunbounded polyester. Said layers are herein understood to be "coextensive with one another (see Fig 4)."

Said layer is understood to be fully capable of being "attachable to spacecraft" and "attachable on a structure intended for use in a vacuum condition" and it, therefore, is understood to meet said conditions. MPEP 2111.02 states that a preamble is not considered a limitation and is of no significance to claim construction when the preamble merely states the purpose or intended use of the invention.

Gonczy does not teach a coating of anti-contaminant material should be placed over the outer sheet of thermally reflective material. However, Murata teaches a photocatalyst material for metallic substrates comprising a titanium oxide layer and a protective layer containing lithium silicate between the substrate and the layer of photocatalyst (abstract). Said photocatalyst is effective for inducing the breakdown of

Art Unit: 1773

organic residues on said outer surface of a material in the presence of solar radiation (0002). Herein the titanium oxide is understood to read on the claimed photocatalytic material of claim 6 and the lithium silicate is understood to read on the high emittance glass layer of the claims 11 and 12. Thus, it would have been obvious to apply the layers taught in Murata to the outerwardly facing metallized layer of the insulative blanket taught in Gonczy. The motivation for doing so would have been the induce the breakdown of organic residues on said outer surface of the thermally insulative plastic material in the presence of solar radiation.

7. Claims 13, 14, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonczy et al (US 5,143,770) in view of Murata et al (US 2003/0039848A), as applied to claims above, and further in view of WO02/055612 (herein referred to as Yoshihara). US 6,949,284 is herein relied upon as an English translation of Yoshihara.

Gonczy in view of Murata is relied upon as above, but does not teach the laminate may further comprise an indium tin oxide or indium oxide layer on the photocatalytic layer or between said layer and the lithium silicate layer. However, Yoshihara teaches the bonding between a binder layer and a photocatalytic layer may be deteriorated by the photocatalytic action (col 11, lines 35+). In order to combat said problem, the photocatalyst may be coated with indium tin oxide or indium oxide (col 11, lines 35+). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to coat the photocatalyst taught by Murata with ITO or

Art Unit: 1773

indium oxide. The motivation for doing so would have been to maintain a strong bond between the photocatalyst and the lithium silicate.

8. Claims 10 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gonczy et al (US 5,143,770) in view of Murata et al (US 2003/0039848A), as applied to claims above, and further in view of Herd et al (US 5,651,251).

Gonczy in view of Murata is relied upon as above, but does not teach the spacers may comprise the claimed mesh. However, Herd teaches thermal shields typically comprise metallized composite films intervened with glass or nylon mesh spacer layers, as is known in the art (col 4, lines 12+). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize glass or nylon mesh as the spacer layers of the laminate taught in Gonczy. The motivation for doing so would have been that said meshes are known in the art to be functionally equivalent to the polyester spunbonded fibers taught in Gonczy.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin R. Kruer whose telephone number is 571-272-1510. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on 571-272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1773

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 6

Kevin R. Kruer

He R Homen

Patent Examiner-Art Unit 1773